Clarifications to the Queries of Prospective Bidders for the Project "Preparation of DPR for Agula Water Bodies and Supervision of Construction for Agula, Haridakhandi, Ramalingeswar Water Bodies at Berhampur Municipal Corporation " as per RFP No. 02/OUIDF-83/2014/Dated 06.03.2017

As per the condition no 1.6 & 1.7 of the RFP, the Pre-bid Meeting for the Project was held on 20th March 2017 at 11:00 AM in the Conference hall of OUIDF at Fortune Towers , Chandrasekharpur, Bhubaneswar . The eligibility criteria /scope of work of the RFP was explained to the Bidders who attended the Meeting.

Various queries were raised by the participants were discussed in the pre-bid meeting. The prospective Bidders also submitted written queries by e-mail and the clarifications for the same are given below.

Sr. No.	Pre-Bid Query	Clause No. reference	Clarification
1	We request you to allow as under as the marking clause has allowed below given projects: a) The Bidder shall have successfully completed preparation of DPR of Water Bodies, Water Park, River Front Development, Lake Front Development projects, Water Supply, Sewerage projects of at least 10 acres in an urban area with minimum consultancy fee of Rs. 2 (Two) lakh in last five years from the date of publication of the RFP. The proof of such engagement shall be provided in the form of client work/order /completion certificate with details of scope of work, date of start and completion of assignment and consultancy fee value. Experience in DPR preparation work as mentioned above 1s mandatory. b)The Bidder shall have successfully completed construction supervision/Project Management of at least one project of Water Bodies,	Clause No. 2.1.2(a),(b),(c),(d)	Consortium allowed up to two members. Please refer Addendum No Other Change

Sr. No.	Pre-Bid Query	Clause No. reference	Clarification
	Water Park, River Front Development, Lake Front Development projects, Water Supply, Sewerage projects with minimum consultancy fee of Rs.10 (Ten) lakhs in last five years from the date of publication of the RFP. The proof of such engagement shall be provided in the form of client work order / completion certificate with details of scope of work, date of start and completion of assignment and consultancy fee value. Experience m Construction Supervision as mentioned above is mandatory.		
2	d) Conditions shown in 2.1.2(a) to (c) are minimum qualifying criteria. No marks will be awarded in this evaluation.	2.1.2 (d) page no - 5	d) Conditions shown in 2.1.2(a) to (c) are minimum qualifying criteria. The Bidder not meeting the above requirement will not be considered for further evaluation.
3	We understand that in case of any false statement regarding qualification, experience or other particulars of individual key personnel provided by respective individual keep personnel is beyond reasonable control of bidder for which cancellation of award of work of bidders is very harsh condition. Instead of that we request you to allow bidders to work for project by replacing such candidates immediately.	2.12.4 on page 9 of RFP.	No change
4	We understand that the comments on ToR is generally mentioned by bidder in bid submission if they wish to inform some unclarified / unanticipated parameters of the projector approach any alternative method for cost/quality benefit to the project. Hence allocating marks for commenting on ToR may be	3.1.2 - 2 (v) on page 17 of RFP	3.1.2.1(i) "Clarity on Completeness of Bids" to be read as " Clarity on Completeness of Bids for documentation" 3.1.2.2(ii) "Approach & Methodology" to be read as " Approach & Methodology for

viewed and removed.		Presentation & Content"- 3.1.2.2(ii) (a) "Understanding of the scope of Work(2 Marks)" to be read as "Understanding of the scope of Work(3 Marks) 3.1.2.2(ii) (c) "Proposed
		Methodology of Consultant for undertaking the assignment(4 marks)" to be read as "Proposed Methodology of Consultant for undertaking the assignment(6 marks)" 3.1.2.2(v) "Comments on TOR- 3 Marks " Stands deleted.
Ve request you to allow uccessfully completed project of DPR preparation of work for Vater Supply, Sewerage projects kewise the same allowed in 3.1.2 - (ii) on page 18 of RFP.	3.1.2 - 3(i) on page 17 & 18 of RFP.	No Change
Ve request you to allow dditional personnel for making presentation looking at the fact hat the project manager 1s generally hired to work on projects field / home) depending upon equirement of project. However, ll other requisite management nformation / other project details re not know to them which 1s presentation to be made to client or winning the project. Hence, we equest you to allow other management people to make the presentation along with Project	Clause 3.1.2 on page 18 of RFP.	The Project Manager should have a thorough understanding of proposed scope of work of Project for which he is being deployed. He should meet Client expectation to be verified in Technical Evaluation Phase. However in case of any unavoidable circumstances beyond Control of the Bidder other Authorized Person can be allowed with permission of Client.
re lec pre or eq na pre	not know to them which 1s ressary to incorporate in sentation to be made to client winning the project. Hence, we uest you to allow other nagement people to make the	not know to them which 1s ressary to incorporate in sentation to be made to client winning the project. Hence, we uest you to allow other nagement people to make the sentation along with Project

Sr. No.	Pre-Bid Query	Clause No. reference	Clarification
7	We request you to allow ME(Civil)/ M.E.(Structure) along with M.E.(Construction Management)	3.1.4.2 on page 19 of RFP. Marks for Qualification of Project Manager	No Change
8	 We request you to kindly revise payment% at sr. no. (1) and Sr. No . (4) as under: 1.) Allow 20% payment against inception report as the same will attract mobilization expenditure, data collection and preliminary survey expenditure; and 	Clause 13.Payment schedule (a) Payment for preparation of DPR for Agula Water Body.	No Change
	2.) Allow 10% payment on Signing of Agreement between Contractor and BeMC as consultants does not have any major role in executing agreement.		
	As the above revision, is requested to make improvement in cash flow based on their input; we request to consider the same.		
9	The total % of payment for all stage is not adding up to 100%. Hence we request you to review and revise the same suitably. We also request you to allow payment at Sr.No.2 on monthly basis instead of quarterly basis as the consultants has to incur project related expenditure on monthly basis.	Clause 13. Payment schedule b. Payment for Supervision of reclamation of 3 Water Bodies (Agula, Haridakhandi, Ramlingeswar)	The total % of Payment adds up to 100% No Change
10	The total % of payment for all stage is not adding up to 100%. Hence we request you to review and revise the same suitably. We also request you to allow payment at Sr.No.2 on monthly basis instead of quarterly basis as the consultants has to incur project related expenditure on monthly	Clause 13. Payment schedule b. Payment for Supervision of reclamation of 3 Water Bodies (Agula, Haridakhandi,	The total % of Payment adds up to 100% No Change

Sr. No.	Pre-Bid Query	Clause No. reference	Clarification
	basis.	Ramlingeswar)	
11	The total % of payment for all stage is not adding up to 100%. Hence we request you to review and revise the same suitably. We also request you to allow payment at Sr.No.2 on monthly basis instead of quarterly basis as the consultants has to incur project related expenditure on monthly basis.	Clause 13. Payment schedule b. Payment for Supervision of reclamation of 3 Water Bodies (Agula, Haridakhandi, Ramlingeswar)	The total % of Payment adds up to 100% No Change
12	We understand that as there is different expected DLP period as under: Ramalingeswar- 01-May-2019 Haridakandi - 01-Nov-2018 Agula - 01-Dec-2019 Hence, we request you to kindly release the 1/3rd of the total performance guarantee for each water body on completion of DLP of respective water body.		Performance Security is 10% of Contract Fee for all the assignment. Proportionate Performance Security will be released with respect to Defect Liability period of respective assignment
13	Exemption of empaneled consultants from first level/round of qualification criteria		No Change
14	We request you kindly allow consortium/joint venture such that it will enable competitive participation. Also we request you to kindly extend the submission date by further 15 days for working out a fair proposal.		Consortium allowed up to two members. Please refer Addendum The Proposal submission date shall be extended to 20 th May 2017
15	Person having qualification, experience and Projects less than the prescribed Qualification and experience in the RFP will be marked as Nil	Clause 3.1.4.2 The Minimum Qualification criterion & Marking of qualified Persons to be deployed	Person having qualification, experience and Projects less than the prescribed Qualification and experience in the RFP will be marked as Nil. Bid will be rejected if the Key Personnel do not have minimum Qualification as defined in Clause 3.1.4