| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description   | Bidders Query  | Clarifications   |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 1        | 2.27 Proprietary data (Page no.<br>14-15)<br>All information collected,<br>analyzed, processed or in<br>whatever manner provided by<br>the Consultants to OUIDF in<br>relation to the Consultancy shall<br>be the property of OUIDF.  | We can usually pass<br>ownership in certain agreed<br>final deliverables to the<br>client, but typically cannot<br>accept a blanket obligation to<br>pass ownership in everything<br>used, created or delivered<br>under the contract.<br>Therefore, Client cannot take<br>the ownership of its pre-<br>existing IPRs. | All studies<br>developed during<br>the execution of<br>Project will be the<br>property of<br>OUIDF. Client will<br>not take the<br>ownership of<br>Consultant's Pre-<br>Existing IPRs.   |
| 2        | Annexure I: Terms Of Reference<br>– Phase I(Page No. 24)<br>Conduct a sample survey (for<br>new road stretches for 113 ULBs<br>spread across the Odisha state) to<br>estimate the overall bill of<br>material and specifications.   | What would be the probable<br>sample size for the field<br>surveys?  | The Consultant<br>shall arrive at<br>appropriate<br>sample size by<br>adopting a<br>scientific approach,<br>tools &<br>methodology. The<br>Consultant shall<br>provide<br>justification for the<br>sample size<br>adopted. The<br>minimum sample<br>size shall be 10% of<br>the road length for<br>each category of<br>road. |
| 3        | Annexure I: Terms Of Reference<br>– Phase II (Page No. 26)<br>Validation and Approval of<br>Equipment Installation Plan and<br>issuance of Equipment<br>Commissioning Certificate   | Can we submit review<br>reports/ status reports<br>instead of issuing<br>commissioning certificate as<br>a proof for installation of the<br>equipment?   | As per the RFP. No<br>Change.  |
| 4        | 5.7 AUDITING (Page 65)<br>5.7.1 For services or part services<br>that are not remunerated on a<br>lump-sum basis, the Consultant<br>shall be obliged to maintain up-<br>to- date records that meet<br>professional standards and that<br>clearly and systematically<br>indicate the services provided<br>and the time and expense<br>involved. The Consultant shall | As KfW is not the part of the<br>contract, if this project is<br>awarded. So, we request you<br>to change the clause stating<br>that audit/ inspection right<br>of project related to<br>documents limited to OUIDF<br>only.   | As per Standard<br>Bidding Document<br>prescribed by KfW.<br>No change.  |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description   | Bidders Query   | Clarifications               |
|----------|---|---|------------------------------|
|          | permit the Employer and KfW to<br>audit these records at any time<br>and make copies of them during<br>the term of the Contract   |   |                              |
| 5        | 6.1 Liability (Page 65)<br>6.1.1. The Consultant shall be<br>liable to the Employer for<br>verifiably culpable breaches of its<br>contractual obligations,<br>particularly breaches of Article 3<br>[The Consultant]. The liability of<br>the Consultant shall be limited to<br>the respective insurance sum,<br>insofar as this is higher than the<br>Order Value. Otherwise the<br>liability of the Consultant shall<br>be limited to the Order Value.<br>This shall not affect the liability<br>for premeditation and gross<br>negligence. | The liability clause entails<br>that in event of a claim under<br>the above liability clause our<br>liability extends to the value<br>of insurance maintained by<br>us or the total order value.<br>Given the nature of the<br>insurance we maintain in the<br>eventuality of a liability<br>claim our insurance amount<br>being higher will<br>automatically be enforced.<br>For the purposes of this<br>engagement it is necessary to<br>maintain a separate<br>insurance cover of the value<br>of the order.<br>So, we request you to cap the<br>liability limit of up to the<br>total order value of this<br>project.<br>Additionally please note<br>there is unlimited liability for<br>gross negligence and<br>premeditation, as standard<br>practice we do not agree to<br>gross negligence as this not<br>defined under Indian statute<br>and the use of the word<br>premeditation is very vague<br>it needs to be made specific<br>in terms of nature of<br>premeditated murder. | No Change, As per<br>the Rfp |
| 6        | Clause 2.1.1 Sr. no.a- The<br>Consortium with maximum two<br>members is allowed.<br>Clause 2.1.1: Sr. no. b: Bidder/<br>Consortium (Aggregate of  | It is requested that the<br>minimum average annual<br>turnover of the Lead<br>consultant should be at least<br>Rs. 50 Cr during the last  | As per RFP. No<br>Change.    |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description | Bidders Query                              | Clarifications |
|----------|---|--|----------------|
| 110      | Consortium Members) shall have            | three (3) financial years and              |                |
|          | average turnover of at least of Rs.       | it should not be the                       |                |
|          | 15 Cr. from consultancy business          | aggregate turnover of                      |                |
|          | in last three years.                      | Consortium Members.                        |                |
|          |   | 2. Instead of Consortium                   |                |
|          |   | partner, appointment of Sub-               |                |
|          |   | consultant is allowed and                  |                |
|          |   | sub consultant should not be               |                |
|          |   | treated as a consortium                    |                |
|          |   | partner to meet the annual                 |                |
|          |   | turnover criteria. Sub-                    |                |
|          |   | consultants (firm or                       |                |
|          |   | individuals) should be                     |                |
|          |   | allowed for execution of                   |                |
|          |   | required scope of work and                 |                |
|          |   | not as the Consortium                      |                |
|          |   | partner to meet the                        |                |
|          |   | qualification criteria on                  |                |
|          |   | Annual turnover. The sub                   |                |
|          |   | consultants should be                      |                |
|          |   | allowed for equipment                      |                |
|          |   | handling / data collection as              |                |
|          |   | this supports in optimizing                |                |
|          |   | the cost.                                  |                |
|          |   | 3. We understand sub                       |                |
|          |   | consultant will not be treated             |                |
|          |   | as Consortium partner and                  |                |
|          |   | would not require to provide               |                |
|          |   | ANNEXURE-XI:                               |                |
|          |   | CONSORTIUM                                 |                |
|          |   | AGREEMENT - Please                         |                |
|          |   | confirm.                                   |                |
|          |   | Suggestion:                                |                |
|          |   | The terms of reference outlined in the RFP |                |
|          |   | document require the                       |                |
|          |   | consultant to provide                      |                |
|          |   | technical services (baseline               |                |
|          |   | survey, investment grade                   |                |
|          |   | energy audit, detailed                     |                |
|          |   | technical specifications)                  |                |
|          |   | along with transaction                     |                |
|          |   | advisory services (advisory                |                |
|          |   | services on transaction model              |                |
|          |   | (shared savings/annuity                    |                |
|          |   | based model etc., finalization             |                |
|          |   | of transaction framework,                  |                |
|          |   | bid process management,                    |                |

| SL        | Clause Reference, Page No,  | Bidders Query   | Clarifications   |
|-----------|---|---|--|
| <u>No</u> | Description Clause 3.1.2: Conditions for Key Personnel: For Qualification &   | signing of contracts and<br>ESCO agreements etc.) along<br>with project management<br>support post implementation<br>of project. Association with<br>sub consultants d for<br>equipment handling / data<br>collection supports in<br>optimizing the cost as well as<br>execution over constrained<br>timeline<br>1.As this is green field street<br>lighting project, the   | Accredited Energy<br>Auditor from BEE                                  |
|           | Experience of Team Leader who<br>is also a Regulatory &<br>Commercial Expert- it is<br>mentioned as he should have<br>Accredited Energy Auditor<br>Certification from Bureau of<br>Energy Efficiency(BEE)<br>Clause 3.1.2: If Team Leader-<br>Regulatory & Commercial Expert<br>have Accredited Energy Auditor<br>Certification from Bureau of<br>Energy Efficiency(BEE) – it<br>carries weightage of 3 marks | qualification requirement of<br>Accredited Energy Auditor<br>Certification from Bureau of<br>Energy Efficiency (BEE) is<br>not relevant as BEE<br>Accredited Energy Auditors<br>are for Industry sectors like<br>Steel, Power, textile etc.<br>Rather , team leader also<br>acting as Regulatory and<br>Commercial it would add<br>value if team leader would<br>have experience to work with<br>Regulatory commissions<br>advising on regulatory and<br>tariff aspects for power<br>supply to consumers<br>including public street lights.<br>2. As accredited energy<br>auditor qualification is not<br>relevant to street light space<br>it should not carry any marks<br>in technical evaluation. More<br>weightage should be given<br>the experience to work with<br>Regulatory commissions<br>advising on regulatory and<br>tariff aspects for power<br>supply to consumers<br>including public street light space<br>it should not carry any marks<br>in technical evaluation. More<br>weightage should be given<br>the experience to work with<br>Regulatory commissions<br>advising on regulatory and<br>tariff aspects for power<br>supply to consumers<br>including public street lights.<br><b>Suggestion:</b><br>This is green filed street lights.<br><b>Suggestion:</b><br>This is green filed street light<br>project and not a retrofit<br>Energy efficiency based<br>street lighting initiative. Also | is removed from<br>Qualification of<br>Team Leader.<br>Refer Addendum. |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description  | Bidders Query  | Clarifications   |
|----------|--|--|--|
|          |  | Accreditation for Energy<br>auditors<br>specific to Industry sectors<br>and not relevant to street<br>lights and dilutes the<br>required skill for this kind of<br>Transaction advisory<br>services.   |  |
| 8        | Annexure I - Terms of Reference:<br>Section B Sr. no. 2: Payment<br>Schedule   | It is requested to provision<br>for at least 15% as<br>mobilization advance at the<br>time of issuance of LoI. This<br>15% may be adjusted against<br>proposed payments of 30%<br>provisioned at completion of<br>Phase III (Final survey report<br>for implementation and<br>evaluation including<br>performance standard and<br>on commissioning at each<br>ULB with equipment<br>commissioning certificate)<br>The consultant is expected to<br>depute its team for meeting<br>the respective ULBs and<br>initiating the survey for<br>preparation of baseline and<br>BOQ. A mobilization<br>advance forms part of all<br>standard contracts in such<br>engagements | As per RFP. No<br>Change.  |
| 9        | Clause 5.2.2: "Only in cases of<br>agency contracts: the<br>Consultant's invoices shall be<br>addressed to the Employer "c/o<br>KfW". KfW shall receive the<br>original invoice. A copy of the<br>invoice shall be sent to the<br>Employer directly. The original<br>final invoice is to be addressed to<br>the Employer, and KfW will<br>receive a copy." | <ol> <li>1.What the clause is about.<br/>Does that mean Deliverables<br/>are approved by kFW.</li> <li>2. Though GCC &amp; SCC<br/>mention name "KfW", the<br/>draft contract template is not<br/>as per standard KfW<br/>template.</li> </ol>   | In Special<br>Condition of<br>Contract the<br>Clause 5.2.2<br>deleted. |
| 10       | ANNEXURE-IX:LEGALLYBINDINGSIGNEDDECLARATIONOFUNDERTAKING:  | 1. The RFP does not mention<br>that the project is funded by<br>KfW, however there is a<br>declaration to be provided as   | As per RFP. No<br>Change.  |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description  | Bidders Query   | Clarifications       |
|----------|--|---|----------------------|
|          | "LEGALLY BINDING SIGNED<br>DECLARATION OF<br>UNDERTAKING" - We<br>undertake to comply with<br>applicable labour laws and the<br>Core Labour Standards of the<br>International Labor Organization<br>(ILO) as well as national and<br>applicable international<br>standards of environmental<br>protection and health and safety<br>standards."   | per KfW requirement. If we<br>are signing the contract with<br>Indian entity and in India<br>then why such undertaking<br>is required |                      |
| 11       | Section 3.1.2, Page no. 16,<br>Qualification & Experience of<br>Team Leader<br>B. Tech / B.E. (Electrical /<br>Electronics / Electronics &<br>Instrumentation) with<br>Accredited Energy Auditor<br>Certification from Bureau of<br>Energy Efficiency (BEE) with<br>minimum 10 years of experience<br>and must have carried out at<br>least 2 Eligible Projects. Must<br>have knowledge of Energy<br>Efficiency Standard / Regulatory<br>framework of Bureau of Energy<br>Efficiency |   | Please see Sr. No. 7 |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description   | Bidders Query  | Clarifications                     |
|----------|---|--|------------------------------------|
|          |   | knowledge of Energy<br>Efficiency Standard /<br>Regulatory framework of<br>Bureau of Energy Efficiency.  |                                    |
| 12       | Section 3.1.3, Page no. 18-19,<br>criterion for marking Team<br>Leader, qualification<br>ME/M Tech in Electrical<br>Engineering<br>/ Power Electronics / Energy<br>System / Energy from institute<br>recognized by AICTE-1 Mark   | ObservationMBA in power managementcan also be included inaddition to the existingeducational qualifications.SuggestionMay we please request youto modify the existing clauseas per following:MBA in Power Management,ME/M Tech in ElectricalEngineering / PowerElectronics / Energy System/ Energy from instituterecognized by AICTE-1 Mark  | Refer Addendum                     |
| 13       | Section 3.1.3, Page 18, 19, 20 -<br>Breakup of scoring for key<br>personnel and Criterion for<br>Marking of qualified Persons to<br>be deployed - Sr. project engineer<br>and project engineer<br>Cumulative marks for<br>qualification - 3<br>Cumulative marks for experience<br>- 4.5<br>Cumulative marks for no. of<br>eligible projects - 3 | Observation<br>The marks assigned for 'Sr.<br>project engineer and project<br>engineer' does not add up to<br>maximum specified marks in<br>page 18.<br>Suggestion<br>May we please request you<br>to modify the existing clause<br>as per following:<br>Cumulative marks for no. of   | Rectified please<br>refer Addendum |
| 14       | Section 3.1.3, Page 20 Eligible<br>projects<br>Eligible Project for Transaction or<br>Bid Process Expert means<br>Transaction Advisory Services<br>for any Energy Efficiency Project.   | Observations<br>This project qualifies more as<br>municipal infrastructure than<br>energy efficiency given that it<br>is green field in nature. In<br>this context, the municipal /<br>utility infrastructure projects<br>must be eligible for<br>transaction & bid process<br>expert.<br><b>Suggestion</b><br>May we please request you<br>to modify the existing clause<br>as per following:<br>Eligible Project for | Refer Addendum                     |

| SL | Clause Reference, Page No,   | Bidders Query  | Clarifications   |
|----|--|--|--|
| No | Description  |  |  |
| 15 | ANNEXURE I: TERMS OF<br>REFERENCE, SCOPE OF WORK<br>Phase I: Technical Due Diligence,<br>Page no. 24,25  | Transaction or Bid Process<br>Expert means Transaction<br>Advisory Services for any<br>municipal / utility<br>infrastructure and energy<br>efficiency project.<br>Observations<br>What is the purpose of<br>identifying data requirement<br>and mapping the various  | The Consultant<br>shall identify the<br>new stretches in<br>Consultation with  |
|    | Identify all the relevant data<br>requirements and map the<br>various sources (including<br>various departments) where the<br>data relevant to the project<br>would be available and needs to<br>be collected;<br>2. Conduct a sample survey ( for<br>new road stretches for 113 ULBs<br>spread across the Odisha state) to<br>estimate the overall bill of<br>material and specifications | sources of information?<br>The sample surveys are to be<br>conducted for new road<br>stretches in 113 ULBs. Are<br>these new road stretches<br>already identified (with GPS<br>coordinates or specific<br>address) by respective ULBs?<br><b>Suggestion</b><br>May we please request you<br>to add the following clause:<br>OUIDF will assign nodal<br>officers from each ULB and<br>relevant departments for<br>identifying the new road<br>stretches, data facilitation<br>and supporting sample<br>surveys. | ULB Officials<br>designated for the<br>Project. The ULBs<br>have been directed<br>to collate<br>information to<br>facilitate speedy<br>implementation. |
| 16 | ANNEXURE I: TERMS OF<br>REFERENCE, Deliverables and<br>Timelines, Page no. 27<br>Technical Due Diligence Report –<br>60 days Technical schedules for<br>the draft bid Documents – 60<br>days   | Observation<br>The time line specified for<br>technical due diligence may<br>not be sufficient for<br>coordinating with different<br>ULBs, departments and<br>conducting sample site<br>surveys.<br>Suggestion<br>May we please request you<br>to modify the existing clause<br>as per following:<br>Technical Due Diligence<br>Report, Technical schedules<br>for the draft bid<br>Documents – 60 days<br>(exclusive of the time taken<br>to identify the new road<br>stretches by ULB)                       | As per RFP. No<br>Change.  |
| 17 | ANNEXURE I: TERMS OF   | j )  | The estimated road   |

| SL | Clause Reference, Page No,  | Bidders Query  | Clarifications   |
|----|---|--|--|
| No | Description<br>REFERENCE; SCOPE OF WORK<br>Phase I: Technical Due Diligence;<br>Page no. 24<br>Conduct a sample survey (for new<br>road stretches for 113 ULBs spread<br>across the Odisha state) to estimate<br>the overall bill of material and<br>specifications taking into<br>consideration the following:   | Specify the approx. total length<br>of road stretch to be covered<br>under this project along with<br>the sample size / spread of<br>roads required to be surveyed in<br>the technical due diligence<br>phase  | lengthforGreenfieldProjectisapproximately3500Km.Pleaserefer reply to queryno.2forclarificationrelating to samplesurvey |
| 18 | Section 2: INSTRUCTIONS TO<br>BIDDERS;<br>A. General; 2.1 Conditions of<br>Eligibility of Bidders; 2.1.1 (b) &<br>(c)<br>Page no. 5<br>Bidder/Consortium (Aggregate<br>of Consortium Members) shall<br>have average turnover of at least<br>of Rs. 15 Cr. from consultancy<br>business in last three years (FY<br>2015, FY 2016, FY 2017) and each<br>member of Consortium/Bidder<br>must have positive Net worth as<br>on 31.03.2017.  | Suggestion<br>Bidder / Lead Member of<br>Consortium shall have<br>average turnover of at least<br>of Rs. 50 Cr. from<br>consultancy business in last<br>three years (FY 2015, FY<br>2016, FY 2017) and each<br>member of<br>Consortium/Bidder must<br>have positive Net worth as<br>on 31.03.2017.   | As per the RFP. No<br>change.  |
| 19 | ANNEXURE I: TERMS OF<br>REFERENCE;<br>B.DELIVERABLES/SPECIFIC<br>OUTPUTS EXPECTED FROM<br>CONSULTANT<br>2. Payment Schedule<br>Page no. 28<br>Approval of Technical Due<br>Diligence Report with inputs for<br>technical schedules to be a part of<br>the Bid Documents – 15%<br>Bid Process Management &<br>Approval of Bid Evaluation<br>Report – 15%<br>Execution of contract between<br>ULBs /HUDD and private Player<br>– 15%<br>Completion of validation of<br>Survey report and approval of<br>equipment installation plan for<br>all 113 ULBS – 25% | Observation<br>No mobilisation advance.<br>Suggestion<br>May we please request you<br>to add the clause as per<br>following:<br>Mobilisation advance (1<br>week after contract signing) –<br>10%<br>Approval of Technical Due<br>Diligence Report with inputs<br>for technical<br>schedules to be a part of the<br>Bid Documents – 15%<br>Bid Process Management<br>& Approval of Bid<br>Evaluation Report – 15%<br>Execution of contract<br>between ULBs /HUDD and<br>private Player – 15%<br>Completion of validation<br>of Survey report and<br>approval of equipment | As per the RFP. No<br>change.  |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description  | Bidders Query   | Clarifications                |
|----------|--|---|-------------------------------|
|          | Final Survey Report of<br>Implementation and evaluation<br>including Performance Standard<br>and on Commissioning at each<br>ULB with Equipment<br>Commissioning Certificate – 20%   | ULBS – 25% Final Survey Report of   |                               |
| 20       | ANNEXURE XIV: BIPARTITE<br>AGREEMENT FOR<br>CONSULTANCY SERVICES;<br>Clause 1.3.2<br>Page no. 54<br>In case of inconsistencies or<br>ambiguities between parts of the<br>Contract that cannot be resolved<br>through the ranking set out in<br>Clause 1.3.1, the Employer shall<br>interpret the parts of the Contract<br>in line with the intention of the<br>parties based on the purpose and<br>intention of the Contract as a<br>whole, including all Annexes. | Suggestion<br>In case of inconsistencies or<br>ambiguities between parts of<br>the Contract that cannot be<br>resolved through the ranking<br>set out in Clause 1.3.1, the<br>Parties shall interpret the<br>parts of the Contract in line<br>with the intention of the<br>parties based on the purpose<br>and intention of the Contract<br>as a whole, including all<br>Annexes. | As per the RFP , no<br>change |
| 21       | ANNEXUREXIV:BIPARTITEAGREEMENTFORCONSULTANCYSERVICES;Clause 1.12.1Page no. 56This obligation of confidentialityupon the Consultant and itsemployees shall remain effectiveafter termination of the Contract.   | <b>Observation</b><br>We believe the confidentiality<br>clause should be effective for a<br>certain period post termination<br>of the contract which should be<br>specified in months/years. Say<br>for e.g. 3 years after the<br>termination of the contract and<br>thereafter should be lifted off<br>the consultants post completion<br>of this period.                        | As per the RFP , no change    |
| 22       | ANNEXURE XIV: BIPARTITE<br>AGREEMENT FOR<br>CONSULTANCY SERVICES; Clause<br>6.1.1 Page no. 65<br>The liability of the Consultant<br>shall be limited to the respective<br>insurance sum, insofar as this is<br>higher than the Order Value.<br>Otherwise   | Suggestion<br>We propose the stated part of<br>the clause should be deleted.  | As per the RFP , no change    |

| SL | Clause Reference, Page No,   | Bidders Ouerv  | Clarifications                |
|----|--|--|-------------------------------|
| No | Description  |  |                               |
| 23 | ANNEXUREXIV:BIPARTITEAGREEMENTFORCONSULTANCYSERVICES;Clause 6.1.1Page no. 65The liability of the Consultantshall be limited to the OrderValue.   | This sentence should be  | As per the RFP , no<br>change |
| 24 | ANNEXURE XIV: BIPARTITE<br>AGREEMENT FOR<br>CONSULTANCY SERVICES;<br>Clause 7.1.1 (b), (c) & (d)<br>Page no. 65 & 66<br>b) Personal liability insurance;<br>(c) equipment insurance covering<br>loss of or physical damage to all<br>equipment<br>acquired, used, provided or paid<br>for by the Employer, if any,<br>within the context of this<br>Contract; and<br>(d) Motor vehicle third party<br>liability insurance and motor<br>vehicle comprehensive insurance<br>for the vehicles acquired in<br>connection with this Contract.   | Suggestion<br>We propose the stated part of<br>the clause should be deleted. | As per the RFP, no<br>Change  |
| 25 | SPECIAL CONDITIONS:<br>Ad Article 7: Insurance<br>Page no. 72<br>Third Party motor vehicle<br>liability insurance as required<br>under India"s Motor Vehicles<br>Act, 1988, by the Consultant or<br>its Personnel or any Sub-<br>Consultants or their Personnel<br>for the period of consultancy.<br>Employer"s liability and<br>Workers" compensation<br>insurance in respect of the<br>Personnel of the Consultant and<br>of any Sub Consultants, in<br>accordance with the relevant<br>provisions of the Applicable<br>Laws of India, as well as, with<br>respect to such Personnel, any<br>such life, health, accident, travel<br>or other insurance as may be<br>appropriate; and | Suggestion<br>We propose the stated part of<br>the clause should be deleted  | As per RFP, No<br>Change      |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description   | Bidders Query   | Clarifications           |
|----------|---|---|--------------------------|
| 26       | Insurance against loss of or<br>damage to (i) equipment<br>purchased in whole or in part<br>with funds provided under this<br>Contract, (ii) the Consultant's<br>property used in the<br>performance of the Services, and<br>(iii) any documents prepared by<br>the Consultant in the<br>performance of the Services, by<br>theft, fire or any natural calamity<br>SPECIAL CONDITIONS:<br>Ad Article 8: Disputes and<br>Arbitration Procedure<br>Ad 8.3: Arbitration Procedure  | Suggestion<br>We propose the stated part of<br>the clause should be deleted.  | As per RFP, no<br>Change |
|          | Page no. 72<br>In case of failure of the two<br>Arbitrators appointed by the<br>parties to reach a consensus<br>regarding the appointment of the<br>third Arbitrator within a period<br>of 30 days from the date of<br>appointment of the two<br>Arbitrators, the Presiding<br>Arbitrator shall be appointed by<br>the Commissioner-cum-<br>Secretary of the H&UD<br>Department, Govt. of Odisha.   |   |                          |
| 27       | <b>RFP Clause No.2.1.1 of ITB</b><br>Bidder/Consortium (Aggregate<br>of Consortium Members) shall<br>have average turnover of at least<br>of Rs. 15 Cr. from consultancy<br>business in last three years (FY<br>2015, FY 2016, FY 2017) and each<br>member of Consortium/Bidder<br>must have positive Net worth as<br>on 31.03.2017. The Bidder must<br>ensure that they submit the<br>evidence of eligibility criteria on<br>turnover in the form of audited<br>financial statements signed by<br>Chartered Accountant for the last<br>three financial years along with<br>their Technical Proposal. | Bidder/Consortium<br>(Aggregate of Consortium<br>Members) shall have average<br>turnover of at least of Rs. 4<br>Cr. from consultancy<br>business in last three years<br>(FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017)<br>and each member of<br>Consortium/Bidder must<br>have positive Net worth as<br>on 31.03.2017 | As per RFP, No<br>Change |
| 28       | Bidder/Lead Member of   | Bidder/Lead Member of   | As per the RFP, no       |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description   | Bidders Query   | Clarifications   |
|----------|---|---|--|
|          | Consortium must have<br>experience as Transaction<br>Advisor* for minimum one<br>Energy Efficiency Street lighting<br>project for Urban Local Bodies in<br>India in last 10 years with<br>minimum consultancy fee of<br>Rs.10 Lakhs.<br>Transaction Advisory Services<br>means carrying out surveys,<br>Technical & Financial Due<br>Diligence of Project, Project<br>Structuring, Bid Process<br>Management and Selection of<br>Bidder   |   | Change   |
| 29       | Clause No.2.18.1<br>The Bidder shall furnish as part<br>of its Proposal, a bid security of<br>Rs. 3,00,000/- (Rupees Three<br>Lakh only) in the form of a<br>Demand Draft issued by<br>Nationalized/ Scheduled Banks<br>in India in favor of the "The<br>Secretary, OUIDF" payable at<br>Bhubaneswar (the "Bid<br>Security"), returnable not later<br>than 180 days from PDD except<br>in case of the two highest ranked<br>Bidders. In the event that the first<br>ranked Bidder commences the<br>assignment, the second ranked<br>Bidder, whose proposal has been<br>kept in reserve, shall be returned<br>forthwith, but in no case not later<br>than 180 (one hundred and<br>eighty) days from the PDD. | We request the OUIDF to<br>kindly modify the Clause<br>and allow Bank Guarantee<br>for Bid Security.<br>Our submission here is that<br>furnishing Bank Guarantee is<br>an acceptable practice in<br>Govt. consulting services<br>tenders. It will also help in<br>the proposals being cost<br>competitive as the interest<br>burden of money blocked in<br>the Demand Draft is saved. | Bid Security in the<br>form of Bank<br>Guarantee with<br>Validity up to 6<br>month from the<br>proposal due date<br>can be accepted. |
| 30       | <b>Clause 3.1.2</b><br>Power Point Presentation shall be<br>made only by the Team<br>Leader/Sr. Project Engineer  | We request OUIDF to<br>indicate the date for Power<br>Point Presentation in the pre-<br>bid queries response.<br>Alternatively we request<br>OUIDF to at-least give 10<br>working days of advance<br>notice for date of<br>presentation.<br>Our submission here is that<br>proposed Team Leader and   | At least 5 days will<br>be given for Power<br>Point Presentation   |

| SL             | Clause Reference, Page No,   | Bidders Query   | Clarifications |
|----------------|--|---|----------------|
| SL<br>No<br>31 | Description<br>Clause 3.1.2<br>Conditions of Key Personnel<br>Transaction and Bid Process<br>Expert:<br>Qualification and Experience -<br>BE/BTech Electrical/<br>Electronics/ Electronics &<br>Instrumentation/ Mechanical)<br>with MBA in Finance Discipline<br>with Minimum 10 years of | Senior Project Engineer are<br>senior staff of the<br>organization and may be<br>traveling out of home<br>location for other works.<br>Hence advance notice of date<br>for presentation will help us<br>manage the travel logistics<br>and availability better.<br>We request OUIDF to modify<br>the Qualification &<br>Experience criteria to as<br>suggested below:<br><i>Graduate in any discipline with</i><br><i>MBA in Finance discipline with</i><br><i>MBA in Finance discipline with</i><br><i>minimum of 10 years of</i><br><i>experience to advice on bid</i><br><i>process management for</i><br><i>municipal corporations / ULBs</i> |                |
|                | TransactionandBidProcessExpert:  | Experience criteria to as<br>suggested below:<br>Graduate in any discipline with<br>MBA in Finance discipline with<br>minimum of 10 years of<br>experience to advice on bid<br>process management for   |                |
|                |  | management tasks are usually sector agnostic and  |                |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description   | Bidders Query   | Clarifications  |
|----------|---|---|---|
|          |   | require more generic<br>expertise   |   |
| 32       | Clause 3.1.2<br>Conditions of Key Personnel | We request OUIDF to<br>include a position of Legal<br>Expert (with law degree and<br>experience of working in PPP<br>projects) in the team. The<br>position of Legal Expert is<br>essential for drafting and<br>legal vetting Concession<br>Agreements / EPC contracts,<br>Service Level Agreements<br>etc.<br>Alternatively if Legal Expert<br>can't be included in the team<br>we request OUIDF to clarify<br>whether legal support /<br>inputs and vetting services<br>be provided through<br>different agency for the<br>project. | Consultant can<br>deploy additional<br>experts as per<br>requirement<br>intermittently. |
| 33       | Payment Schedule                            | Werequesttomodifypayment schedule as followsMilestonePaymentSubmissionof15%InceptionReport (within7daysofsigningofAgreement)Approvalof15%TechnicalDueDiligenceReportReportwithinputsfortechnicalschedules to bea part of the BidDocumentsBidProcessManagement & 15%ApprovalofBid EvaluationReportExecutionofBid EvaluationExecutionReportULBs   | As per RFP, No<br>Change  |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No, | Bidders Query   | Clarifications           |
|----------|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| No       | Description                | andPrivatePlayerPlayerCompletion of20%validationofSurveyreportand approval ofequipmentinstallationplan for all 113ULBsULBsFinalsurvey20%reportofImplementationand evaluationincludingperformancestandardstandardateachULBwith equipmentcommissioningcertificate   |                          |
| 34       | Deliverables & Timelines   | We request OUIDF to modify<br>the deliverables and Time<br>Lines as below:<br>Phase 1: Due Diligence and<br>Preparation of Transaction<br>Structure - 120 days<br>Phase 2: Tender Process and<br>Transaction Implementation<br>- Within 240 days<br>(cumulative)<br>Phase 3: Implementation<br>Assistance - Supervision,<br>Installation &<br>Commissioning of Street<br>Lighting project and<br>approval of Plan - Within<br>480 days (cumulative)<br>Our submission here is that<br>this is a large PPP<br>assignment (project sites<br>spread over 113 ULBs) and<br>gathering relevant site data<br>from each ULB itself will be<br>time consuming task. | As per RFP, no<br>change |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description   | Bidders Query   | Clarifications  |
|----------|---|---|---|
|          |   | Moreover evolving a project<br>structure and packaging will<br>also needs more time than<br>presently indicated. This is<br>true for implementation<br>phase works as well. Hence<br>overall we feel that the time-<br>lines given are too stringent<br>and may not be practical to<br>adhere   |   |
| 35       | Payment Schedule<br>The payments are subject to the<br>acceptance of the reports and the<br>documents by Concerned<br>Authority for every<br>stage/milestone of the project                                 | We request OUIDF to clarify<br>who are the 'Concerned<br>Authority' from whom<br>acceptance is required for<br>every stage / milestone.<br>Given the very tight time<br>lines of the project, we<br>suggest that OUIDF be the<br>single point of contact for all<br>approvals / acceptance for<br>every stage / milestone of<br>the project, as getting<br>approvals from multiple<br>entities (esp. 113 ULBs) will<br>be very challenging and may<br>cause significant delay | Municipal<br>Commissioner/<br>Executive Officer<br>of ULB |
| 36       | Completion of Service<br>The Consultancy shall stand<br>completed on satisfactory<br>acceptance by the ULB of all the<br>Deliverables of the Consultant.<br>ULB shall issue a certificate to<br>that effect | We request OUIDF to modify<br>this clause and OUIDF be the<br>single point of contact for<br>issuance of certificate of<br>completion etc.<br>Getting certificates or<br>approvals from 113 ULBs<br>will be a very challenging<br>and complex task. Hence this<br>request   | As per RFP , No<br>Change                                 |
| 37       | General   | We request the OUIDF to<br>extend the proposal due date<br>such that applicants get at-<br>least give 3 weeks of time<br>from the date of issue of<br>response to pre-bid queries<br>to submit their proposals  | Please refer<br>Corrigendum                               |
| 38       | <ul><li>2. Instruction to Bidders</li><li>2.1 Conditions of Eligibility of<br/>Bidders Point b) Page no. 5</li><li>Bidder/Consortium (Aggregate</li></ul>   | It is requested to consider<br>Average Turnover of Rs. 10<br>Cr. from Consultancy<br>business   | As per RFP, no<br>Change                                  |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description   | Bidders Query  | Clarifications            |
|----------|---|--|---------------------------|
|          | of Consortium Members) shall<br>have average turnover of at least<br>of Rs. 15 Cr. from consultancy<br>business in last three years (FY<br>2015, FY 2016, FY 2017) and each<br>member of Consortium/Bidder<br>must have positive Net worth as<br>on 31.03.2017    |  |                           |
| 39       | <ul><li>2. Instruction to Bidders</li><li>2.1 Conditions of Eligibility of<br/>Bidders Point c) Page no. 5</li></ul>  | Street Lighting are not much<br>made in Last 10 years, we<br>request you to kindly<br>reconsider the mentioned<br>eligibility criteria as<br>"Experience as Transaction<br>Advisory for Energy Audit<br>/Energy Efficiency in  | As per RFP,no<br>Change   |
| 40       | <ul> <li>3.1 Evaluation of Technical<br/>Proposal</li> <li>3.1.2 - Technical Experience</li> <li>Page no. 16</li> <li>Experience of Transaction</li> <li>Advisory in Green Field Energy</li> <li>Efficient Street Lighting Project</li> <li>of any ULB</li> </ul> | It is requested to consider the<br>experience as "Experience of<br>Transaction Advisory in<br>Energy Audit /Energy<br>Efficiency in Municipal<br>Sector Project of any ULB"  | As per RFP,no<br>Change   |
| 41       | 3.1 Evaluation of Technical<br>Proposal<br>3.1.2 - Key Personnel<br>Page no. 17<br>For Position - 1) Team Leader 2)<br>Sr. Project Engineer, 3) Project<br>Engineer<br>Qualification: B.E/B.Tech<br>Electrical/ Electronics/                                      | It is requested to consider<br>qualification for mentioned<br>positions as B.E/B.Tech<br>Electrical/ Electronics/<br>Electronics &<br>Instrumentation /<br>Mechanical  | As per RFP, No<br>Change  |
| 42       | 2.1.1©<br>Transaction Advisor for<br>minimum one Energy Efficiency<br>Street lighting project for Urban<br>Local Bodies in India  | Please relax this specific<br>project experience required<br>by the applicant. As the<br>advisors who have worked<br>in other sectors providing<br>similar services and having<br>competent team should also<br>be allowed to participate so<br>that there is larger | As per RFP , No<br>Change |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description   | Bidders Query  | Clarifications   |
|----------|---|--|--|
|          |   | participation which will lead to optimum price discovery.  |  |
| 43       | Clause 2, Sub-Clause 2.1.1,Point©<br>Bidder/Lead Member of<br>Consortium must have<br>experience as Transaction<br>Advisor* for minimum one<br>Energy Efficiency Street lighting<br>project for Urban Local Bodies in<br>India in last 10 years with<br>minimum consultancy fee of<br>Rs.10 Lakhs.  | experience as Transaction<br>Advisor * for minimum one<br>Energy Efficiency/private<br>participation in power<br>distribution sector in India in<br>last 10 years with minimum | As per RFP , No<br>Change  |
| 44       | Clause 3, Sub Clause 3.1.3<br>Eligible Project for Team<br>Leader/Sr.Project<br>Engineer/Project Engineer<br>means Transaction<br>Advisory/IGEA study/M&V<br>work/PMC work of Energy<br>Efficiency Street Lighting Project<br>(Street lighting/Industrial<br>Lighting/Building<br>Lighting/Township Lighting etc.<br>will also be considered) on EPC/<br>PPP basis. | Consider Transaction<br>Advisory for PPP in Power<br>Distribution Sector as eligible<br>assignments.   | As per RFP , No<br>Change  |
| 45       | Clause 3, Sub Clause 3.1.3<br>Eligible Project for Transaction or<br>Bid Process Expert means<br>Transaction Advisory Services<br>for any Energy Efficiency Project   |  | As per RFP, no<br>Change   |
| 46       | Clause 3, Sub-Clause 3.1.2<br>Criteria: Experience of<br>Transaction Advisory in Green<br>Field Energy Efficient Street<br>Lighting Projects of any ULB.  | Consider Transaction<br>Advisory for PPP in Power<br>Distribution Sector as eligible<br>assignment   | As per RFP, No<br>Change   |
| 47       | Clause 3, Sub-Clause 3.1.2<br>Experience of Transaction<br>Advisory in Green Field Energy<br>Efficient Street Lighting Project<br>of any ULB<br>Marks-10  | Kindly provide the number<br>of assignments to be<br>provided to get full 10 marks   | One Experience of<br>Transaction<br>Advisory In Green<br>Field Energy<br>Efficient Street<br>Lighting Project<br>carries 10 marks. |
| 48       | Page 5 2.1.1 b<br>Bidder/Consortium (Aggregate<br>of Consortium Members) shall<br>have average turnover of at least<br>of Rs.   | Bidder/Consortium(Aggregate of ConsortiumMembers) shall have averageturnover of at least of Rs. 10Cr.fromconsultancy   | As per RFP, no<br>Change   |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description  | Bidders Query   | Clarifications           |
|----------|--|---|--------------------------|
| NO       | Description<br>15 Cr. from consultancy business<br>in last three years (FY2015, FY<br>2016, FY 2017) and each member<br>of Consortium/Bidder must have<br>positive Net worth as on<br>31.03.2017. The Bidder must<br>ensure that they submit the<br>evidence of eligibility criteria on<br>turnover in the form of audited<br>financial statements signed by<br>Chartered Accountant for the last<br>three financial years along with<br>their Technical Proposal  | (FY 2015, FY 2016, FY 2017)<br>each member of<br>Consortium/Bidder must<br>have positive Net worth as<br>on 31.03.2017. The<br>Bidder/Lead Member must<br>ensure that they submit the<br>evidence of eligibility criteria<br>on turnover in the form of<br>audited financial statements<br>signed by Chartered<br>Accountant for the last three<br>financial years along with |                          |
| 49       | Page 5,2.1.1 c)<br>Bidder/Lead Member of<br>Consortium must have<br>experience as Transaction<br>Advisor* for minimum one<br>Energy Efficiency Street lighting<br>project for Urban Local Bodies in<br>India in last 10 years with<br>minimum<br>consultancy fee of Rs.10 Lakhs.<br>The proof of such engagements<br>and its completion certificate<br>should be provided along with<br>Employer<br>work order / contract with scope<br>of work and project value in<br>Technical Proposal. Proposal of<br>bidders who do not fulfill the<br>criteria laid<br>down of 2.1(a), (b) & (c) shall<br>stand rejected forthwith and no<br>further evaluation would be<br>undertaken since these are<br>minimum qualifying criteria.<br>Technical Bids of the qualified<br>Bidder as per Clause no. 2.1.1(a),<br>(b) & (c) shall be evaluated for<br>CVs of Key Personnel, Approach<br>& Methodology and Experience<br>in Green Field Energy Efficient<br>Street Lighting Project, the<br>details of which are given in<br>Clause no.3.1.2 & 3.1.3. |   | As per RFP, no<br>change |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description  | Bidders Query   | Clarifications  |
|----------|--|---|---|
|          | *Transaction Advisory Services<br>means carrying out<br>surveys, Technical & Financial Due<br>Diligence of Project,<br>Project Structuring, Bid Process  | The proof of such<br>engagements in term of<br>Completion certificate/<br>Employer work<br>order /Employer Certificate  |   |
|          | Management and<br>Selection of Bidder.   | (in case of ongoing works)<br>with scope of work and<br>estimated project value needs<br>to be provided. Proposal of<br>bidders who do not fulfill the<br>criteria laid down of 2.1(a),<br>(b) & (c) shall stand rejected<br>forthwith and no further<br>evaluation would be<br>undertaken since these<br>are minimum qualifying<br>criteria. Technical Bids of the |   |
|          |  | qualified Bidder as per<br>Clause no.<br>2.1.1(a), (b) & (c) shall be<br>evaluated for CVs of Key<br>Personnel, Approach &<br>Methodology and Experience<br>in Green Field Energy<br>Efficient Street Lighting<br>Project, the details<br>of which are given in Clause<br>no.3.1.2 &<br>3.1.3.  |   |
| 50       | Page 7,2.9.2<br>In order to afford the Bidders a<br>reasonable time for taking into<br>account the amendments/<br>addendum, or for any other<br>reason, OUIDF may, in its sole<br>discretion,<br>extend the PDD.   | Please provide at least 21<br>days from the date of<br>issuance of Corrigendum<br>/Addendum by OUIDF with<br>a window of<br>seven days for reviewing and<br>seeking clarification on the<br>corrigendum / addendum  |   |
| 51       | Page 11,2.18.1<br>The Bidder shall furnish as part<br>of its Proposal, a bid security of<br>Rs. 3,00,000/- (Rupees Three<br>Lakh only) in the form of a<br>Demand Draft issued by<br>Nationalized/ Scheduled Banks<br>in India in favor of the "The<br>Secretary,OUIDF" payable at<br>Bhubaneswar (the "Bid<br>Security"), | Kindly allow for submission<br>of EMD in the form of Bank<br>Guarantee also valid for clear<br>180 days from the Project<br>Due Date or any other<br>extended date.   | Bidder can submit<br>EMD in the form of<br>Bank Guarantee<br>valid for 180 days<br>from Proposal Due<br>Date favouring "<br>The Secretary,<br>OUIDF" payable at<br>Bhubaneswar as<br>provided in the<br>Annexure-I of the |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description  | Bidders Query   | Clarifications  |
|----------|--|---|---|
|          | returnable not later than 180 days<br>from PDD except in case of the<br>two highest ranked Bidders. In<br>the event<br>that the first ranked Bidder<br>commences the assignment, the<br>second ranked Bidder, whose<br>proposal has been kept in<br>reserve, shall be returned<br>forthwith,<br>but in no case not later than 180<br>(one hundred and eighty) days<br>from the PDD.                          |   | Addendum.   |
| 52       | Page 18,3.1.3<br>Team Leader – Regulatory and<br>Commercial Expert Qualification<br>for maximum marks ME/M Tech<br>in Electrical Engineering /Power<br>Electronics/Energy<br>System/Energy from institute<br>recognized by AICTE   | Team Leader – Regulatory<br>and Commercial Expert<br>Qualification for maximum<br>marks ME/M Tech in<br>Electrical Engineering<br>/Power Electronics/Energy<br>System/Energy/MBA<br>from institute recognized<br>by AICTE | Refer Addendum  |
| 53       | Page 24<br>Annexure- 1 – Scope ofServices<br>Conduct a sample survey ( for<br>new road stretches for 113 ULBs<br>spread across the Odisha state) to<br>estimate<br>the overall bill of material and<br>specifications taking into<br>consideration the following   | Kindly specify the roads,<br>stretch of the road and the<br>minimum sample size to be<br>surveyed. This will ensure<br>that all the bidders can do<br>their assessment based on the<br>minimum sample size.               | Kindly refer Sl no.<br>2 & 15   |
| 54       | Page 25 Scope of Services S. No. 3<br>Classify the new road stretches /<br>streets as per the relevant<br>standards for classification of<br>roads/ guidelines<br>and guidance applicable to the<br>project (like National Lighting<br>Code 2010, Codes of Practice<br>regarding<br>classification of road<br>Guidance for lighting of public<br>streets, roads, and highways,<br>standards regarding supply | Please clarify whether the<br>new road stretches have been<br>identified or it will be the  | The Consultant<br>shall identify the<br>new stretches in<br>Consultation with<br>ULB Officials<br>designated for the<br>Project. The ULBs<br>have been directed<br>to collate<br>information to<br>facilitate speedy<br>implementation. |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description   | Bidders Query   | Clarifications                |
|----------|---|---|-------------------------------|
|          | arrangements and ensure<br>equipment specifications to be<br>installed are in conformity with<br>these standards.   |   |                               |
| 55       | Page 27 Deliverables and<br>Timelines Phase-1   | 60 days shall be counted<br>from the time the<br>information on the roads and<br>road stretches are handed<br>over to the<br>Consultant. Otherwise, in the<br>absence of this information, if<br>the Consultant has to<br>identify<br>the roads and calculate the<br>road stretches then, this will<br>be time consuming task and<br>the Consultant shall not be<br>able to finish off this task<br>within 60 days. | As per the RFP, No<br>Change. |
| 56       | Page 28 Payment Schedule  | Provision needs to be made<br>for at least 10% Mobilization<br>advance to be given to the<br>Consultant for mobilizing the<br>team. The<br>Mobilization Advance can be<br>given against a BG of equal<br>value. The BG can be<br>returned back to the<br>Consultant at the end of each<br>future payment milestone on<br>equal proportional basis   | As per RFP , no<br>change     |
| 57       | Clause 2.1.1 c) Page-5<br>Bidder/Lead Member of<br>Consortium must have<br>experience as Transaction<br>Advisor* for minimum one<br>Energy Efficiency Street lighting<br>project for Urban Local Bodies in<br>India in last 10 years with<br>minimum consultancy fee of<br>Rs.10 Lakhs. | "To allow wider participation<br>of firms with experience of<br>having carried out EESL   | As per RFP, No<br>Change      |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No, Description   | Bidders Query  | Clarifications                   |
|----------|--|--|----------------------------------|
|          |  | (ongoing / completed)<br>minimum one Energy<br>Efficienct Street lighting<br>assignment with minimum<br>project value of Rs 10 Crores.   |                                  |
|          |  | Or   |                                  |
|          |  | ""Bidder/Lead Member of<br>Consortium must be a power<br>distribution utility with<br>experience of installation and<br>maintenance of streetlights in<br>its own area""   |                                  |
|          |  | The proof of such<br>engagements should be<br>provided along with<br>Employer work order /<br>contract / self certificate for<br>power distribution utilities<br>maintaning street light in<br>their licensee area, indicating<br>the scope of work and project<br>value.""" |                                  |
| 58       | Clause 2.1.1 c) Page-5<br>*Transaction Advisory Services<br>means carrying out surveys,<br>Technical & Financial Due<br>Diligence of Project, Project<br>Structuring, Bid Process<br>Management and Selection of<br>Bidder | In several instances, client<br>provides data and thus initial<br>survey is not part of the<br>scope of work. It is thus   | As per the RFP,No<br>Change      |
| 59       | ANNEXURE I: TERMS OF<br>REFERENCE<br>Conduct a sample survey ( for<br>new road stretches for 113 ULBs<br>spread across the Odisha state) to<br>estimate the overall bill of  | Kindly confirm that the base<br>data for the sample survey<br>shall be provided by OUIDF.<br>Please also clarify if the<br>surveyors need to bring GIS<br>coordinates for each   | Please refer reply<br>Query no 2 |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description   | Bidders Query  | Clarifications               |
|----------|---|--|------------------------------|
|          | material and specifications   | luminary/fixture covered in the survey.  |                              |
| 60       | Clause 3.1.2. Table Page 16<br>1) Key Personnel: 60 marks<br>2) Experience of Transaction<br>Advisory in Green Filed Energy<br>Efficiency Street Lighting Project<br>of any ULB: 10 marks<br>3) Approach & Methodology: 30<br>marks   | Since, experience of a firm in<br>carrying out similar projects<br>is more relevant to this<br>assignment, it is suggested to<br>give more weightage to the<br>"Experience of projects<br>carried out by the<br>consultancy firm" and also<br>revise the marks for<br>Approach & Methodology<br>section.<br>The revised scoring<br>parameters may be as<br>follows:<br>1) Key Personnel: 40 marks<br>(Similarly for clause 3.1.3 on<br>pg. 18: breakup of scoring<br>would be modified)<br>2) Experience of Transaction<br>Advisory in Green Filed<br>Energy Efficiency Street<br>Lighting Project of any ULB:<br>40 marks<br>3) Approach & Methodology:<br>20 marks | As per the RFP, No<br>Change |
| 61       | Annexure X<br>The approach & methodology to<br>be covered under following<br>heads:<br>a. Understanding of the scope of<br>work<br>b. Proposed methodology of<br>Consultant for undertaking the<br>assignment<br>i. Deployment of staff and<br>logistics<br>ii. Technical approach<br>iii. Methodological framework<br>for Joint survey analysis,<br>Validation of Equipment<br>Installation Plan, Asset marking<br>Equipment Installation &<br>Commissioning,<br>iv. Work Plan | proposed to adopt the<br>following format with item-<br>wise scoring:<br>a. Understanding of the<br>scope of work: 2 marks<br>b. Proposed methodology of<br>Consultant for undertaking<br>the assignment: 18 marks :-<br>i. Deployment of staff and<br>logistics: 2 marks<br>ii. Technical approach: 5<br>marks<br>iii. Methodological<br>framework for Joint survey<br>analysis, Validation of  | As per the RFP, No<br>Change |

| SL<br>No | Clause Reference, Page No,<br>Description  | Bidders Query  | Clarifications  |
|----------|--|--|---|
|          | v. Manning Schedule  | Asset marking Equipment<br>Installation &<br>Commissioning: 5 marks<br>iv. Work Plan: 3 marks<br>v. Manning Schedule: 3<br>marks   |   |
| 62       | Clause 3.1.2 Page 18<br>It may be noted that all the key<br>personnel mentioned above<br>proposed for the Project shall be<br>available for the entire duration<br>of the Project. Replacement of the<br>Key personnel during the period<br>of the assignment shall be with<br>same or higher qualification with<br>due approval of the Authority. | Clarity required whether the<br>deployment of manpower<br>would be Full Time, or on<br>Need basis?   | Key personnel<br>mentioned above<br>proposed for the<br>Project shall be<br>available for the<br>entire duration of<br>the Project as<br>mentioned in<br>Clause 3.1.2 of the<br>RFP |
| 63       | New<br>Clause for Extension of Project<br>duration beyond contract period<br>is not included in RfP  | The following clause may be<br>added:<br>"Delay in project due to<br>reasons not attributed to the<br>consultant, the payment<br>beyond the period of contract<br>would be based on mutually<br>agreed terms between the<br>client & the consultant" | As per the RFP, No<br>Change  |
| 64       | Annexure 1, B. 2 ,Page 28<br>Payment Schedule  | This being a resource based<br>assignment, it is suggested to<br>have 50% of contract value as<br>monthly fixed (1/24 of total<br>contract value) component &<br>remaining 50% on the basis<br>of milestone specified on Pg<br>28, Payment Schedule. | As per the RFP, No<br>Change  |